arXiv:1410.7638v2 [math.CA] 23 Nov 2014

EXISTENCE OF BOUND AND GROUND STATES FOR A SYSTEM OF COUPLED NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER-KDV EQUATIONS

EDUARDO COLORADO*

Abstract. We prove the existence of bound and ground states for a system of coupled nonlinear Schrödinger-Korteweg-de Vries equations, depending on the size of the coupling coefficient.

1. Introduction

The aim of this note is to show some existence of solutions for a system of coupled nonlinear Schrödinger-KdV equations as follows,

$$\begin{cases} if_t + f_{xx} + \alpha fg + |f|^2 f &= 0 \\ g_t + g_{xxx} + gg_x + \frac{1}{2}\alpha(|f|^2)_x &= 0, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $f = f(x,t) \in \mathbb{C}$ while $g = g(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\alpha < 0$ is the real coupling constant. System (1) appears in phenomena of interactions between short and long dispersive waves, arising in fluid mechanics, such as the interactions of capillary - gravity water waves. Indeed, f represents the short-wave, while g stands for the long-wave; see for instance [8] and references therein.

If we define $f(x,t) = e^{i(\omega t+kx)}u(x-ct)$, $g(x,t) = v(x-ct)$, with u, $v \geq 0$ real functions, choosing $\lambda_1 = k^2 + \omega$, $\lambda_2 = c = 2k$ and $\beta = -\alpha$, we get that u, v solve the following system

$$\begin{cases} -u'' + \lambda_1 u &= u^3 + \beta uv \\ -v'' + \lambda_2 v &= \frac{1}{2}v^2 + \frac{1}{2}\beta u^2. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

We deal with the general case, λ_1 not necessarily equals to λ_2. We demonstrate the existence of:

-bound states when the coupling parameter is small,

-ground states provided the coupling factor is large, not proved before for none range of $\lambda_j > 0$, $j = 1, 2$.

In the particular case $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ and $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$ studied by [6], the authors proved the existence of bound states. As a consequence of our existence results, we show that, in that range of parameters, there exist not only bound states, if not ground states. Also, we want to point out that our method, inspired in [1, 2], is different from the one in [6], and it seems to be more appropriate to study system (2); see Remarks 4, 5.

We use the following notation: E denotes the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$, that can be defined as the completion of $\mathcal{C}_0^1(\mathbb{R})$ endowed with the norm $\|u\| = \sqrt{(u \mid u)}$, which comes from the

*Partially supported by Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness of Spain and FEDER, under research project MTM2013-44123-P.

scalar product $(u \mid w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u'w' + uw)\, dx$. We denote the following equivalent norms and scalar products in E,

$$\|u\|_j = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|u'|^2 + \lambda_j u^2)\, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (u|v)_j = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u' \cdot v' + \lambda_j uv)\, dx; \quad j = 1, 2.$$

We define the product Sobolev space $\mathbb{E} = E \times E$. The elements in $\mathbb{E}$ are denoted by $\mathbf{u} = (u, v)$, and $\mathbf{0} = (0, 0)$. We take $\|\mathbf{u}\| = \sqrt{\|u\|_1^2 + \|v\|_2^2}$ as a norm in $\mathbb{E}$. For $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{E}$, $\mathbf{u} \geq \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{u} > \mathbf{0}$, means that $u, v \geq 0$, $u, v > 0$ respectively. We denote H as the space of even (radial) functions in E, and $\mathbb{H} = H \times H$. We define the functional

$$\Phi(\mathbf{u}) = I_1(u) + I_2(v) - \tfrac{1}{2}\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2 v\, dx, \qquad \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{E},$$

where

$$I_1(u) = \tfrac{1}{2}\|u\|_1^2 - \tfrac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^4 dx, \qquad I_2(v) = \tfrac{1}{2}\|v\|_2^2 - \tfrac{1}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v^3 dx, \qquad u,\, v \in E.$$

We say that $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{E}$ is a non-trivial *bound state* of (2) if $\mathbf{u}$ is a non-trivial critical point of Φ. A bound state $\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}$ is called *ground state* if its energy is minimal among all the non-trivial bound states, namely

$$\Phi(\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}) = \min\{\Phi(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{E} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\},\ \Phi'(\mathbf{u}) = 0\}. \tag{3}$$

An expanded version of this note, with more details and further results will appear in [5].

2. Existence of ground states

Concerning the ground state solutions of (2), the main result is the following.

Theorem 1. *There exists a real constant $\Lambda > 0$ such that for any $\beta > \Lambda$, System (2) has a positive even ground state $\widetilde{\mathbf{u}} = (\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v})$.*

We will work in $\mathbb{H}$. Setting,

$$\Psi(\mathbf{u}) = (\nabla\Phi(\mathbf{u})|\mathbf{u}) = (I_1'(u)|u) + (I_2'(v)|v) - \frac{3}{2}\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2 v dx,$$

we define the corresponding Nehari manifold

$$\mathcal{N} = \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} : \Psi(\mathbf{u}) = 0\}.$$

One has that

$$(\nabla\Psi(\mathbf{u}) \mid \mathbf{u}) = -\|\mathbf{u}\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^4 < 0, \quad \forall\, \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{N}, \tag{4}$$

and thus $\mathcal{N}$ is a smooth manifold locally near any point $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{0}$ with $\Psi(\mathbf{u}) = 0$. Moreover, $\Phi''(\mathbf{0}) = I_1''(0) + I_2''(0)$ is positive definite, then we infer that $\mathbf{0}$ is a strict minimum for Φ. As a consequence, $\mathbf{0}$ is an isolated point of the set $\{\Psi(\mathbf{u}) = 0\}$, proving that $\mathcal{N}$ is a smooth complete manifold of codimension 1, and there exists a constant $\rho > 0$ so that

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|^2 > \rho, \qquad \forall\, \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{N}. \tag{5}$$

Furthermore, (4) and (5) plainly imply that $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ is a critical point of Φ if and only if $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{N}$ is a critical point of Φ constrained on $\mathcal{N}$.

Note that by the previous arguments, the Nehari manifold $\mathcal{N}$ is a natural constraint of Φ. Also it is remarkable that working on the Nehari manifold, the functional Φ takes the form:

$$\Phi|_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{6}\|\mathbf{u}\|^2 + \frac{1}{12}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^4 dx =: F(\mathbf{u}), \tag{6}$$

and by (5) we have

$$\Phi|_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{u}) \geq \frac{1}{6}\|\mathbf{u}\|^2 > \frac{1}{6}\rho. \tag{7}$$

Then (7) shows that the functional Φ is bounded from below on $\mathcal{N}$, so one can try to minimize it on the Nehari manifold $\mathcal{N}$. With respect to he Palais-Smale (PS for short) condition, we remember that in the one dimensional case, one cannot expects a compact embedding of E into $L^q(\mathbb{R})$ for any $2 < q < \infty$. Indeed, working on H (the even case) it is not true too. However, we will show that for a PS sequence we can find a subsequence for which the weak limit is a solution. This fact jointly with some properties of the Schwarz symmetrization will permit us to prove Theorem 1. By the previous lack of compactness, we enunciate a measure result given in [9] that we will use in the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 2. *If $2 < q < \infty$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^q \, dx \leq C \left(\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}} \int_{|x-z|<1} |u(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{q-2}{2}} \|u\|_E^2, \quad \forall\, u \in E. \tag{8}$$

Let V denotes the unique positive even solution of $-v'' + v = v^2$, $v \in H$. Setting

$$V_2(x) = 2\lambda_2 \, V(\sqrt{\lambda_2}\, x) = 3\lambda_2 \, \mathrm{sech}^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_2}}{2}x\right), \tag{9}$$

one has that V_2 is the unique positive solution of $-v'' + \lambda_2 v = \frac{1}{2}v^2$ in H. Hence $\mathbf{v}_2 := (0, V_2)$ is a particular solution of (2) for any $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. We also put

$$\mathcal{N}_2 = \left\{ v \in H : (I_2'(v)|v) = 0 \right\} = \left\{ v \in H : \|v\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} v^3 dx = 0 \right\}.$$

Let us denote $T_{\mathbf{v}_2}\mathcal{N}$ the tangent space of $\mathbf{v}_2$ on $\mathcal{N}$. Since

$$\mathbf{h} = (h_1, h_2) \in T_{\mathbf{v}_2}\mathcal{N} \Longleftrightarrow (V_2|h_2)_2 = \frac{3}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}} V_2^2 h_2 \, dx,$$

it follows that

$$(h_1, h_2) \in T_{\mathbf{v}_2}\mathcal{N} \Longleftrightarrow h_2 \in T_{V_2}\mathcal{N}_2. \tag{10}$$

Lemma 3. *There exists $\Lambda > 0$ such that for $\beta > \Lambda$, then $\mathbf{v}_2$ is a saddle point of Φ constrained on $\mathcal{N}$.*

Proof. One has that for $\mathbf{h} \in T_{\mathbf{v}_2}\mathcal{N}$,

$$\Phi''(\mathbf{v}_2)[\mathbf{h}]^2 = \|h_1\|_1^2 + I''(V_2)[h_2]^2 - \beta\int_{\mathbb{R}} V_2 h_1^2. \tag{11}$$

According to (10), $\mathbf{h}=(h_1,0)\in T_{\mathbf{v}_2}\mathcal{N}$ for any $h_1\in H$. Defining

$$\Lambda=\inf_{\varphi\in H\setminus\{0\}}\frac{\|\varphi\|_1^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}}V_2\varphi^2}, \tag{12}$$

we have that, for $\beta>\Lambda$, there exists $\widetilde{h}\in H$ with

$$\Lambda<\frac{\|\widetilde{h}\|_1^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}}V_2\widetilde{h}^2}<\beta,$$

thus, taking $\mathbf{h}_0=(\widetilde{h},0)$ in (11) we find

$$\Phi''(\mathbf{v}_2)[\mathbf{h}_0]^2=\|\widetilde{h}\|_1^2-\beta\int_{\mathbb{R}}V_2\widetilde{h}^2<0,$$

finishing the proof. ■

Remark 4. *If one consider $\lambda_1=\lambda_2$ as in [6], taking $\mathbf{h}_0=(V_2,0)\in T_{\mathbf{v}_2}\mathcal{N}$ in the proof of Lemma 3 one finds that*

$$\Phi''(\mathbf{v}_2)[\mathbf{h}_0]^2=\|V_2\|_2^2-\beta\int_{\mathbb{R}}V_2^3dx=(1-2\beta)\|V_2\|_2^2<0\quad \textit{provided}\quad \beta>\frac{1}{2}.$$

See also Remark 5.

Proof of Theorem 1. We start proving that $\inf_{\mathcal{N}}\Phi$ is achieved at some positive function $\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}\in\mathbb{H}$. To do so, by the Ekeland's variational principle in [7], there exists a PS sequence $\{\mathbf{u}_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathcal{N}$, i.e.,

$$\Phi(\mathbf{u}_k)\to c=\inf_{\mathcal{N}}\Phi,\qquad \nabla_{\mathcal{N}}\Phi(\mathbf{u}_k)\to 0. \tag{13}$$

By (6) one finds that $\{\mathbf{v}_k\}$ is a bounded sequence on $\mathbb{H}$, and without relabeling, we can assume that $\mathbf{u}_k\rightharpoonup\mathbf{u}$ weakly in $\mathbb{H}$, $\mathbf{u}_k\to\mathbf{u}$ strongly in $\mathbb{L}^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R})=L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R})\times L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ for every $1\le q<\infty$ and $\mathbf{u}_k\to\mathbf{u}$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Moreover, the constrained gradient $\nabla_{\mathcal{N}}\Phi(\mathbf{u}_k)=\Phi'(\mathbf{u}_k)-\eta_k\Psi'(\mathbf{u}_k)\to 0$, where η_k is the corresponding Lagrange multiplier. Taking the scalar product with $\mathbf{u}_k$ and recalling that $(\Phi'(\mathbf{u}_k)\mid\mathbf{u}_k)=\Psi(\mathbf{u}_k)=0$, we find that $\eta_k(\Psi'(\mathbf{u}_k)\mid\mathbf{u}_k)\to 0$ and this jointly with (4)-(5) imply that $\eta_k\to 0$. Since in addition $\|\Psi'(\mathbf{u}_k)\|\le C<+\infty$, we deduce that $\Phi'(\mathbf{u}_k)\to 0$.

Let us define $\mu_k=u_k^2+v_k^2$, where $\mathbf{u}_k=(u_k,v_k)$. By Lemma 2, applied in a similar way as in [3], we can prove that there exist $R,C>0$ so that

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}}\int_{|z|<R}\mu_k\ge C>0,\quad \forall k\in\mathbb{N}. \tag{14}$$

We observe that we can find a sequence of points $\{z_k\}\subset\mathbb{R}^2$ so that by (14), the translated sequence $\overline{\mu}_k(x)=\mu_k(x+z_k)$ satisfies

$$\liminf_{k\to\infty}\int_{B_R(0)}\overline{\mu}_k\ge C>0.$$

Taking into account that $\overline{\mu}_k\to\overline{\mu}$ strongly in $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$, we obtain that $\overline{\mu}\not\equiv 0$. Therefore, defining $\overline{\mathbf{u}}_k(x)=\mathbf{u}_k(x+z_k)$, we have that $\overline{\mathbf{u}}_k$ is also a PS sequence for Φ on $\mathcal{N}$, in particular the weak

limit of $\overline{\mathbf{u}}_k$, denoted by $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$, is a non-trivial critical point of Φ constrained on $\mathcal{N}$, so $\overline{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathcal{N}$. Thus, using (6) again, we find

$$\Phi(\overline{\mathbf{u}}) = F(\overline{\mathbf{u}}) \leq \liminf_{k\to\infty} F(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_k) = \liminf_{k\to\infty} \Phi(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_k) = c.$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 3 we know that necessarily $\Phi(\overline{\mathbf{u}}) < \Phi(\mathbf{v}_2)$. Clearly $\widetilde{\mathbf{u}} = |\overline{\mathbf{u}}| = (|\overline{u}|, |\overline{v}|) \in \mathcal{N}$ with

$$\Phi(\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}) = \Phi(\overline{\mathbf{u}}) = \min\{\Phi(\mathbf{u}) \,:\, \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{N}\}, \tag{15}$$

and $\widetilde{\mathbf{u}} \geq \mathbf{0}$. Finally, by the maximum principle applied to each single equation and the fact that $\Phi(\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}) < \Phi(\mathbf{v}_2)$, we get $\widetilde{\mathbf{u}} > \mathbf{0}$.

To finish, one can use the classical properties of the Schwartz symmetrization to each component, proving that $\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}$ is indeed a ground state of (2), i.e.,

$$\Phi(\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}) = \min\{\Phi(\mathbf{u}) \,:\, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{E},\ \Phi'(\mathbf{u}) = 0\}. \tag{16}$$

■

Remark 5. *As we anticipate at the introduction, see also Remark 4, in the range of parameters by* [6]*, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ and $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$, we have found ground state solutions in contrast with the bound states founded by* [6].

3. A perturbation result. Existence of Bound states

Finally, we establish existence of bound states to (2), provided the coupling parameter is small. Let us set $\mathbf{u}_0 = (U_1, V_2)$, where V_2 is given by (9) and $U_1(x) = \sqrt{2\lambda_1}\,\operatorname{sech}\left(\sqrt{\lambda_1}x\right)$ is the unique positive solution of $-u'' + \lambda_1 u = u^3$ in H. Then we have the following.

Theorem 6. *There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and $\beta = \varepsilon\widetilde{\beta} > 0$, System (2) has an even bound state $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon > \mathbf{0}$ with $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \to \mathbf{u}_0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.*

In order to prove this result, we can follow some ideas of the proof of [4, Theorem 4.2] with appropriate modifications. To be short, the idea is that by the non-degeneracy of U_1 and V_2 as critical points of their corresponding energy functionals on the radial space H, plainly $\mathbf{u}_0$ is a non-degenerate critical point of Φ on $\mathbb{H}$, hence, an application of the local inversion theorem and some energy computations permit us to prove the existence of $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and a convergent sequence $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \to \mathbf{u}_0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$. It remains to show the positivity of $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon$ which relies on variational techniques in a similar way as in [4], with appropriate changes.

References

[1] A. Ambrosetti, E. Colorado, *Bound and ground states of coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations.* C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **342** (2006), no. 7, 453-458.

[2] A. Ambrosetti, E. Colorado, *Standing waves of some coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations.* J. Lond. Math. Soc. (**2**) 75 (2007), no. 1, 67-82.

[3] E. Colorado, *Existence results for some systems of coupled fractional nonlinear Schrdinger equations.* Recent trends in nonlinear partial differential equations. II. Stationary problems, 135-150, Contemp. Math., **595**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013.
[4] E. Colorado, *Positive solutions to some systems of coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations*. Nonlinear Anal. **110** (2014) 104-112.
[5] E. Colorado, *On the existence of bound and ground states for some coupled nonlinear Schrödinger–Korteweg-de Vries equations*. To appear.
[6] J.-P. Dias, M. Figueira, F. Oliveira, *Existence of bound states for the coupled Schrödinger-KdV system with cubic nonlinearity.* C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **348** (2010), no. 19-20, 1079-1082.
[7] I. Ekeland, *On the variational principle*. J. Math. Anal.Appl. **47** (1974), 324-353.
[8] M. Funakoshi, M. Oikawa, *The resonant Interaction between a Long Internal Gravity Wave and a Surface Gravity Wave Packet*. J. Phys. Soc. Japan. **52** (1983), no.1, 1982-1995.
[9] P.L. Lions, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **1** (1984) 223-283.

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Avenida de la Universidad 30, 28911 Leganés, Madrid, Spain, And Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas, ICMAT (CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM), C/Nicolás Cabrera 15, 28049 Madrid, Spain.

E-mail address: eduardo.colorado@uc3m.es, eduardo.colorado@icmat.es